FILED

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

MAY 12 2010

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 09-90149

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

Complainant alleges that a bankruptcy judge made various improper substantive and procedural rulings. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant also alleges that the judge was "arbitrary, bias [sic], corrupt, discriminatory and prejudicial." But complainant hasn't provided any objectively verifiable proof (for example, names of witnesses, recorded documents or transcripts) to support these allegations "and adverse rulings alone do not constitute proof of bias." In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Because there is no evidence that misconduct occurred, these charges must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant's allegations against the many other people involved in his financial dealings and eventual bankruptcy are dismissed because the misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

Finally, complainant's requests to remove the judge and declare his case a mistrial are not cognizable under the misconduct complaint procedure. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 3(h).

DISMISSED.